ResearchGate

See discussions, stats, and author profiles for this publication at: https://www.researchgate.net/publication/340031949

New Concept on Fourth-Order Differential Subordination and Superordination with Some Results for Multivalent Analytic Functions A R T I C L E I N F O

Article · March 2020

DOI: 10.29304/jqcm.2020.12.1.681

CITATIONS

0

3 authors, including:



Waggas Galib Atshan

University of Al-Qadisiyah

226 PUBLICATIONS **206** CITATIONS

SEE PROFILE

Some of the authors of this publication are also working on these related projects:

On a Differential Subordination View project

After the complex numbers View project

All content following this page was uploaded by Waggas Galib Atshan on 19 March 2020.

The user has requested enhancement of the downloaded file.

Available online at www.qu.edu.iq/journalcm
JOURNAL OF AL-QADISIYAH FOR COMPUTER SCIENCE AND MATHEMATICS
ISSN:2521-3504(online) ISSN:2074-0204(print)

New Concept on Fourth-Order Differential Subordination and Superordination with Some Results for Multivalent Analytic Functions

Waggas Galib Atshan [a] , ***Ihsan Ali Abbas*** [b] , ***and Sibel Yalcin*** [c]

[a] *Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah,* Iraq,
Email*: waggashnd@gmail.com* ، *waggas.galib@qu.edu.iq*
[b] *Department of Mathematics, College of Science, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah,* Iraq
Email: ihsan.a.abbas@qu.edu.iq
[c] *Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Arts and Science, University of Bursa Uludag, Bursa-Turkey,*
Email: syalcin@uludag.edu.tr.

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received: 08/02/2020
Rrevised form:12 /02/2020
Accepted : 05/03/2020
Available online: 18 /03/2020

Keywords:

Differential subordination, Differential superordination, Multivalent function, Admissible function, Fourth-Order.

ABSTRACT

In this paper, we introduce new concept that is fourth-order differential subordination and superordination associated with differential linear operator $I_p(n,\lambda)$ in open unit disk. Also, we obtain some new results.

MSC : 30C45 , 30C50

DOI : https://doi.org/10.29304/jqcm.2020.12.1.681

1 . Introduction

Let $\mathcal{H}(U)$ be the class of functions which are analytic in the open unit disk $U = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$. For $n \in \mathbb{N} = \{1,2,3, \dots\}$,and $a \in \mathbb{C}$, let $\mathcal{H}[a,n] = \{f \in \mathcal{H}(U) : f(z) = a + a_n z^n + a_{n+1} z^{n+1} + \dots\}$,and also let $\mathcal{H}_1 = [1,1]$. Let Σ_p denote the class of all analytic functions of the form :

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k . \qquad (1.1)$$

We consider a linear operator $I_p(n,\lambda)$ on the class Σ_p of multivalent functions by the infinite series

$$I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{k+\lambda}{p+\lambda}\right)^n a_k z^k , \qquad (\lambda > -p). \tag{1.2}$$

The operator $I_p(n,\lambda)$ was studied by [2] .It is easily verified from (1.2) that

$$z\left[I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)\right]' = (p+\lambda)I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z) - \lambda I_p(n,\lambda) . \tag{1.3}$$

For several past years, there are many authors introduce and dealing with the theory of second-order differential subordination and superordination for example([1 − 3, 6, 8,9,10,13, 16]), recently years, the many authors discussed the theory of third-order differential subordination and superordination for example([4, 5,11,12,17,18,19]). In the present paper, we investigated to the fourth-order. In 2011,Antonino and Miller [4] extended the theory of second-order differential subordination in the open unit disk introduced by Miller and Mocanu [14] to the third-order case, now, we extend this to fourth-order differential subordination. They determined properties of functions$p$ that satisfy the following fourth-order differential subordination :

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z): z \in U\} \subset \Omega .$$

In 2014, Tang et al [19] extended the theory of second-order differential superordination in the open unit disk introduced by Miller and Mocanu [15] to third-order case, now we extend this to fourth-order differential superordination. They determined properties of functions p that satisfy the following fourth-order differential superordination :

$$\Omega \subset \{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z): z \in U\} .$$

To prove our main results, we need the basic concepts in theory of the fourth-order.

Definition 1.1. [14]. Let $f(z)$ and $F(z)$ be members of the analytic function class $\mathcal{H}(U)$. The function $f(z)$ is said to be subordinate to $F(z)$ or $F(z)$ is superordinate to $f(z)$ if there exists a Schwarz function $w(z)$ analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ $(z \in U)$, and such that $f(z) = F(w(z))$. In such case, we write

$$f \prec F, \text{ or } f(z) \prec F(z).$$

If $F(z)$ is univalent in U, then $f(z) \prec F(z)$ if and only if $f(0) = F(0)$ and $f(U) \subset F(U)$.

Definition 1.2.[4]. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ denote the set of functions q that are analytic and univalent on the set $\bar{U}\backslash E(q)$, where

$$E(q) = \{\zeta : \zeta \in \partial U \text{ and } \lim_{z\to\zeta} q(z) = \infty\},$$

is such that $\min |q'(\zeta)| = \rho > 0$ for $\zeta \in \partial U\backslash E(q)$. Further let the subclass of $\mathcal{Q}$ for which $q(0) = a$ be denoted by $\mathcal{Q}(a)$ and $\mathcal{Q}(1) = \mathcal{Q}_1$.

Definition 1.3. Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the function $h(z)$ be univalent in U. If the function $p(z)$ is analytic in U satisfies the following fourth-order differential subordination :

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z) \prec h(z), \tag{1.4}$$

then $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential subordination . A univalent function $q(z)$is called a dominant of the solutions of the differential subordination or more simply a dominant if $p(z) \prec q(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.4) . A dominant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies $\tilde{q}(z) \prec q(z)$ for all dominants $q(z)$ of (1.4) is said to be the best dominant.

Definition 1.4. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}, q \in \mathcal{Q}$and $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{2\}$. The class of admissible functions $\Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\psi(r, s, t, w, b; z) \notin \Omega,$$

whenever

$$r = q(\zeta) \quad , \qquad s = \kappa\zeta q'(\zeta) \quad , \qquad \Re\left(\frac{t}{s}+1\right) \geq \kappa\Re\left(\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}+1\right),$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{w}{s}\right) \geq \kappa^2\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right), \qquad \Re\left(\frac{b}{s}\right) \geq \kappa^3\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^3 q''''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right),$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U \backslash \mathrm{E}(q)$, and $\kappa \geq n$.

Theorem 1.5.[7]. Let $p \in \mathcal{H}[a,n]$ with $n \in \mathbb{N}\backslash\{2\}$. Also, let $q \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$ and satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^2 q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \qquad \left|\frac{z^2 p''(z)}{q'(\zeta)}\right| \leq \kappa^2 ,$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U \backslash \mathrm{E}(q)$ and $\kappa \geq n$. If Ω a set in $\mathbb{C}$, $\psi \in \Psi_n[\Omega, q]$ and

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z) \in \Omega ,$$

then $p(z) \prec q(z)$, $(z \in U)$.

Definition 1.6. Let $\psi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and the function $h(z)$ be analytic in U. If the functions $p(z)$ and

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z),$$

are univalent in U and satisfy the following fourth-order differential superordination:

$$h(z) \prec \psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z), \tag{1.5}$$

then $p(z)$ is called a solution of the differential superordination. An analytic function $q(z)$ is called a subordinat of the solutions of the differential superordination or more simply a subordinant if $q(z) \prec p(z)$ for all $p(z)$ satisfying (1.5). A univalent subordinant $\tilde{q}(z)$ that satisfies the condition $q(z) \prec \tilde{q}(z)$ for all subordinants $q(z)$ of (1.5) is said to be the best subordinant. We note that the best subordinant is unique up to a rotation of U.

Definition 1.7. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$,$q(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a,n]$and $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions$\Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\psi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times \overline{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\psi(r, s, t, w, b; \zeta) \in \Omega ,$$

whenever

$$r = q(z) \quad , \qquad s = \frac{\mathrm{z}q'(z)}{m} \quad , \qquad \Re\left(\frac{t}{s}+1\right) \leq \frac{1}{m}\Re\left(\frac{z\,q''(z)}{q'(z)}+1\right),$$

and

$$\Re\left(\frac{w}{s}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m^2}\Re\left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right), \quad \Re\left(\frac{b}{s}\right) \leq \frac{1}{m^3}\Re\left(\frac{z^3 q''''(z)}{q'(z)}\right),$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$, and $m \geq n \geq 3$.

Theorem1.8.[7]. Let $q(z) \in \mathcal{H}[a,n]$ and $\psi \in \Psi'_n[\Omega, q]$. If

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z)$$

is univalent in U and $p(z) \in \mathcal{Q}(a)$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\frac{z^2q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) \geq 0, \qquad \left|\frac{z^2p''(z)}{q'(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{m^2},$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U$ and $m \geq n \geq 3$,
then

$$\Omega \subset \{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z): z \in U\},$$

implies that

$$q(z) \prec p(z), \quad (z \in U).$$

2. Fourth-Order Differential Subordination with $I_p(n, \lambda)$

We first define the following class of admissible functions, which are required in proving the differential subordination theorem involving the operator $I_p(n, \lambda)$ defined by (1.2).

Definition 2.1. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, and let $q \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}_1$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_I[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\phi(u, v, x, y, g; z) \notin \Omega,$$

whenever

$$u = q(\zeta), \quad v = \frac{\kappa\zeta q'(\zeta) + \lambda q(\zeta)}{p + \lambda}, \qquad \Re\left\{\frac{(p+\lambda)^2x - \lambda^2u}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u} - 2\lambda\right\} \geq \kappa\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta q''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)} + 1\right\},$$

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(p+\lambda)^2[(p+\lambda)y - (3\lambda+3)x] + (2\lambda^3+3\lambda^2)u}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u} + (3\lambda^2 + 6\lambda + 2)\right\} \geq \kappa^2\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta^2q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right\},$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(p+\lambda)[(p+\lambda)^3g - (p+\lambda)^2(4\lambda+6)y + (p+\lambda)(8\lambda^2+18\lambda+11)x}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u}\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{-(8\lambda^3+18\lambda^2+22\lambda+6)v] + (3\lambda^4+6\lambda^3+11\lambda^2+6\lambda)u}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u}\right\} \geq \kappa^3\Re\left\{\frac{\zeta^3q''''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right\},$$

where $z \in U, \lambda > -p, \ \zeta \in \partial U \backslash \mathrm{E}(q)$ and $\kappa \geq 3$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $\phi \in \Phi_I[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ and $q \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions :

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^2q'''(\zeta)}{q'(\zeta)}\right) \geq 0, \qquad \left|\frac{I_p(n+2, \lambda)f(z)}{q'(\zeta)}\right| \leq \kappa^2, \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$\{\phi(I_p(n, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4, \lambda)f(z); z): z \in U\} \subset \Omega, \tag{2.2}$$

then $I_p(n, \lambda)f(z) \prec q(z), \ (z \in U)$.

Proof. Define the analytic function $p(z)$ in U by

$$p(z) = I_p(n,\lambda)f(z). \tag{2.3}$$

Then, differentiating (2.3) with respect to z and using (1.3), we have

$$I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z) = \frac{zp'(z)+\lambda p(z)}{p+\lambda}. \tag{2.4}$$

Further computations show that

$$I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z) = \frac{z^2p''(z)+(2\lambda+1)zp'(z)+\lambda^2p(z)}{(p+\lambda)^2}, \tag{2.5}$$

$$I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z) = \frac{z^3p'''(z)+(3\lambda+3)z^2p''(z)+(3\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)zp'(z)+\lambda^3p(z)}{(p+\lambda)^3}. \tag{2.6}$$

and

$$I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z) = \frac{z^4p''''(z)+(4\lambda+6)z^3p'''(z)+(4\lambda^2+12\lambda+7)z^2p''(z)+(4\lambda^3+4\lambda^2+4\lambda+1)zp'(z)+\lambda^4p(z)}{(p+\lambda)^4}. \tag{2.7}$$

Define the transformation from $\mathbb{C}^5$ to $\mathbb{C}$ by

$$u(r,s,t,w,b) = r, \quad v(r,s,t,w,b) = \frac{s+\lambda r}{p+\lambda}, \quad x(r,s,t,w,b) = \frac{t+(2\lambda+1)s+\lambda^2r}{(p+\lambda)^2},$$

$$y(r,s,t,w,b) = \frac{w+(3\lambda+3)t+(3\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)s+\lambda^3r}{(p+\lambda)^3},$$

and

$$g(r,s,t,w,b) = \frac{b+(4\lambda+6)w+(4\lambda^2+12\lambda+7)t+(4\lambda^3+4\lambda^2+4\lambda+1)s+\lambda^4r}{(p+\lambda)^4}. \tag{2.8}$$

Let

$$\psi(r,s,t,w,b;z) = \phi(u,v,x,y,g;z)$$

$$= \phi\left(r, \frac{s+\lambda r}{p+\lambda}, \frac{t+(2\lambda+1)s+\lambda^2r}{(p+\lambda)^2}, \frac{w+(3\lambda+3)t+(3\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)s+\lambda^3r}{(p+\lambda)^3}, \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{b+(4\lambda+6)w+(4\lambda^2+12\lambda+7)t+(4\lambda^3+4\lambda^2+4\lambda+1)s+\lambda^4r}{(p+\lambda)^4}; z\right). \tag{2.9}$$

The proof will make use of Theorem 1.5. Using equations (2.3) to (2.7), we have from (2.9) that

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z), z^4p''''(z); z) =$$

$$\phi\big(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z\big). \tag{2.10}$$

Hence (2.2) becomes

$$\psi(p(z), zp'(z),\ z^2p''(z), z^3p'''(z),\ z^4p''''(z)\ ; z) \in \Omega.$$

We note that

$$\frac{t}{s}+1=\frac{(p+\lambda)^2x-\lambda^2u}{(p+\lambda)v-\lambda u}-2\lambda\,,$$

$$\frac{w}{s}=\frac{(p+\lambda)^2[(p+\lambda)y-(3\lambda+3)x]+(2\lambda^3+3\lambda^2)u}{(p+\lambda)v-\lambda u}+(3\lambda^2+6\lambda+2),$$

and

$$\frac{b}{s}=\frac{(p+\lambda)[(p+\lambda)^3g-(p+\lambda)^2(4\lambda+6)y+(p+\lambda)(8\lambda^2+18\lambda+11)x}{(p+\lambda)v-\lambda u}$$
$$\frac{-(8\lambda^3+18\lambda^2+22\lambda+6)v]+(3\lambda^4+6\lambda^3+11\lambda^2+6\lambda)u}{(p+\lambda)v-\lambda u}.$$

Therefore, the admissibility condition for $\phi \in \Phi_I[\Omega, q]$ in Definition 2.1 is equivalent to the admissibility condition for $\psi \in \Psi_3[\Omega, q]$ as given in Definition 1.4 with $n = 3$. Therefore , by using (2.1) and Theorem 1.5, we obtain

$$p(z) = I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q(z).$$

The next Corollary is an extension of Theorem 2.2 to the case where the behavior of $q(z)$ on ∂U is not known.

Corollary 2.3. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$, and let the function $q(z)$ be univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Let $\phi \in \Phi_I\big[\Omega, q_\rho\big]$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If the function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ and $q_\rho(z)$ satisfy the following conditions :

$$\Re\left(\frac{\zeta^2 q_\rho'''(z)}{q_\rho'(z)}\right) \geq 0\,, \qquad \left|\frac{I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z)}{q_\rho'(z)}\right| \leq \kappa^2\,, \qquad \Big(z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U \backslash \mathrm{E}\big(q_\rho\big)\Big) \tag{2.11}$$

and

$$\phi\big(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z\big) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q(z)\,, \qquad (z \in U).$$

Proof. By using Theorem 2.2, yields $I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q_\rho(z)$. Then we obtain the result from $q_\rho(z) \prec q(z)$, $(z \in U)$. If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, then $\Omega = h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of U onto Ω. In this case, the class $\Phi_I[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_I[h\,, q]$. The following two results are immediate consequence of Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3.

Theorem 2.4. Let $\phi \in \Phi_I[h, q]$. If the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and $q \in Q_1$ satisfy the condition (2.1) and

$$\phi\big(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z\big) \prec h(z), \tag{2.12}$$

then

$$I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q(z)\,, \qquad (z \in U).$$

Corollary 2.5. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{C}$ and let the function q be univalent in U with $q(0) = 1$. Let $\phi \in$

$\Phi_I[h, q_\rho]$ for some $\rho \in (0,1)$, where $q_\rho(z) = q(\rho z)$. If the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ and q_ρ satisfy the condition (2.11), and

$$\phi\big(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z\big) \prec h(z), \tag{2.13}$$

then

$$I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q(z), \qquad (z \in U).$$

Our next theorem yields the best dominant of the differential subordination (2.12).

Theorem 2.6. Let the function h be univalent in U. Also let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and suppose that the differential equation

$$\phi\left(q(z), \frac{zq'(z)+\lambda q(z)}{p+\lambda}, \frac{z^2q''(z)+(2\lambda+1)zq'(z)+\lambda^2 q(z)}{(p+\lambda)^2},\right.$$

$$\frac{z^3q'''(z)+(3\lambda+3)z^2q''(z)+(3\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)zq'(z)+\lambda^3q(z)}{(p+\lambda)^3}, \frac{z^4q''''(z)+(4\lambda+6)z^3q'''(z)+}{(p+\lambda)^4}$$

$$\left.\frac{(4\lambda^2+12\lambda+7)z^2q''(z)+(4\lambda^3+4\lambda^2+4\lambda+1)zq'(z)+\lambda^4q(z)}{(p+\lambda)^4}; z\right) = h(z), \tag{2.14}$$

has a solution $q(z)$ with $q(0) = 1$ and satisfies the condition (2.1). If the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies condition (2.12) and

$$\phi\big(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z\big)$$

is analytic in U, then $I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q(z)$, and $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

Proof. By using Theorem 2.2, that $q(z)$ is a dominant of (2.12). Since $q(z)$ satisfy (2.14), it is also a solution of (2.12) and therefore $q(z)$ will be dominated by all dominants. Hence $q(z)$ is the best dominant.

In the special case $q(z) = Mz$, $M > 0$, and in view of Definition 2.1 , the class of admissible functions $\Phi_I[\Omega, q]$, denoted by $\Phi_I[\Omega, M]$ is defined below.

Definition 2.7. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$, and $M > 0$.The class of admissible functions $\Phi_I[\Omega, M]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the admissibility condition:

$$\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{\kappa+\lambda}{p+\lambda}Me^{i\theta}, \frac{L+[(2\lambda+1)\kappa+\lambda^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(p+\lambda)^2}, \frac{N+(3\lambda+3)L+[(3\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)\kappa+\lambda^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(p+\lambda)^3}\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{A+(4\lambda+6)N+(4\lambda^2+12\lambda+7)L+[(4\lambda^3+4\lambda^2+4\lambda+1)\kappa+\lambda^4]Me^{i\theta}}{(p+\lambda)^4}; z\right) \notin \Omega, \tag{2.15}$$

where $p > -\lambda, z \in U$, $\Re\big(Le^{-i\theta}\big) \geq (\kappa-1)\kappa M, \Re\big(Ne^{-i\theta}\big) \geq 0$ and $\Re\big(Ae^{-i\theta}\big) \geq 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa \geq 3$.

Corollary 2.8. Let $\phi \in \Phi_I[\Omega, M]$. If the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$\big|I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z)\big| \leq \kappa^2 M \qquad (\kappa \geq 3\,; M > 0),$$

and

$$\phi\big(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z\big) \in \Omega,$$

then

$$\big|I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)\big| < M.$$

In the special case $\Omega = q(U) = \{\omega : |\omega| < M\}$, the class $\Phi_I[\Omega, M]$ is simply denoted by $\Phi_I[M]$.

Corollary 2.9. Let $\kappa \geq 3$, $\lambda > -p$ and $M > 0$. If the function $f \in \Sigma_p$ satisfies $\big|I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z)\big| \leq \kappa^2 M$,

and

$$\big|(p+\lambda)^4 I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z) - \lambda(p+\lambda)^3 I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z)\big| < (|\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1| + 2|\lambda^2+9\lambda+7|)3M,$$

then

$$\big|I_p(n,\lambda)f(z)\big| < M.$$

Proof. Let

$$\phi(u,v,x,y,g;z) = (p+\lambda)^4 g - \lambda(p+\lambda)^3 y, \quad \Omega = h(U),$$

where

$$h(z) = (|\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1| + 2|\lambda^2+9\lambda+7|)3Mz\,, M > 0.$$

Using Corollary 2.8, we need to show that $\phi \in \Phi_{I,1}[\Omega\,, M]$. Since

$$\left|\phi\left(Me^{i\theta}, \frac{\kappa+\lambda}{p+\lambda}Me^{i\theta}, \frac{L+[(2\lambda+1)\kappa+\lambda^2]Me^{i\theta}}{(p+\lambda)^2}, \frac{N+(3\lambda+3)L+[(3\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)\kappa+\lambda^3]Me^{i\theta}}{(p+\lambda)^3}\right.\right.$$
$$\left.\left. \frac{A+(4\lambda+6)N+(4\lambda^2+12\lambda+7)L+[(4\lambda^3+4\lambda^2+4\lambda+1)\kappa+\lambda^4]Me^{i\theta}}{(p+\lambda)^4}; z\right)\right|$$

$$= \big|A+(3\lambda+6)N+(\lambda^2+9\lambda+7)L+(\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)\kappa Me^{i\theta}\big|$$

$$= \big|Ae^{-i\theta}+(3\lambda+6)Ne^{-i\theta}+(\lambda^2+9\lambda+7)Le^{-i\theta}+(\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1)\kappa M\big|$$

$$\geq \Re\big(Ae^{-i\theta}\big) + |3\lambda+6|\Re\big(Ne^{-i\theta}\big) + |\lambda^2+9\lambda+7|\Re\big(Le^{-i\theta}\big) + |\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1|\kappa M$$

$$\geq |\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1|\kappa M + |\lambda^2+9\lambda+7|\kappa(\kappa-1)M$$

$$\geq (|\lambda^3+\lambda^2+3\lambda+1| + 2|\lambda^2+9\lambda+7|)3M,$$

whenever $z \in U, \Re\big(Le^{-i\theta}\big) \geq (\kappa-1)\kappa M$, $\Re\big(Ne^{-i\theta}\big) \geq 0$ and $\Re\big(Ae^{-i\theta}\big) \geq 0$ for all $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\kappa \geq 3$.
The proof is complete.

3. Fourth-Order Differential Superordination with $I_p(n,\lambda)$

In this section, we obtain fourth-order differential superordination and sandwich-type results for multivalent functions associated with the operator $I_p(n,\lambda)$ defined by (1.2). For this aim, the class of admissible functions is given in the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Let Ω be a set in $\mathbb{C}$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$. The class of admissible functions $\Phi_I'[\Omega, q]$ consists of those functions $\phi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times \overline{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ that satisfy the following admissibility condition:

$$\phi(u, v, x, y, g; \zeta) \in \Omega ,$$

whenever

$$u = q(z) \ , \quad v = \frac{zq'(z) + mq(z)}{(p+\lambda)m} \ , \qquad \Re\left\{\frac{(p+\lambda)^2 x - \lambda^2 u}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u} - 2\lambda\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m}\Re\left\{\frac{zq''(z)}{q'(z)} + 1\right\},$$

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(p+\lambda)^2[(p+\lambda)y - (3\lambda+3)x] + (2\lambda^3 + 3\lambda^2)u}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u} + (3\lambda^2 + 6\lambda + 2)\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m^2}\Re\left\{\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\},$$

and

$$\Re\left\{\frac{(p+\lambda)[(p+\lambda)^3 g - (p+\lambda)^2(4\lambda+6)y + (p+\lambda)(8\lambda^2 + 18\lambda + 11)x}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u}\right.$$

$$\left.\frac{-(8\lambda^3 + 18\lambda^2 + 22\lambda + 6)v] + (3\lambda^4 + 6\lambda^3 + 11\lambda^2 + 6\lambda)u}{(p+\lambda)v - \lambda u}\right\} \leq \frac{1}{m^3}\Re\left\{\frac{z^3 q''''(z)}{q'(z)}\right\},$$

where $z \in U, \zeta \in \partial U,\ \lambda \in \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0, -1, -2, \dots\}$, and $m \geq 3$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $\phi \in \Phi_I'[\Omega, q]$. If the functions $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$ and $I_p(n, \lambda)f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ satisfy the following conditions:

$$\Re\left(\frac{z^2 q'''(z)}{q'(z)}\right) \geq 0, \qquad \left|\frac{I_p(n+2, \lambda)f(z)}{q'(z)}\right| \leq \frac{1}{m^2}, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\phi\big(I_p(n, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4, \lambda)f(z); z\big)$$

is univalent, and

$$\Omega \subset \left\{\phi\big(I_p(n, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3, \lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4, \lambda)f(z); z\big) : z \in U\right\}, \tag{3.2}$$

then

$$q(z) \prec I_p(n, \lambda)f(z).$$

Proof. Let the functions $p(z)$ and ψ be defined by (2.3) and (2.9). Since $\phi \in \Phi_I'[\Omega, q]$. Thus from (2.10) and (3.2) yield

$$\Omega \subset \{\psi(p(z), zp'(z), z^2 p''(z), z^3 p'''(z), z^4 p''''(z); z) : z \in U\}.$$

In view from (2.8) that the admissible condition for $\phi \in \Phi_I'[\Omega, q]$ in Definition (3.1) is equivalent the admissible condition for ψ as given in Definition 1.7 with $n = 3$. Hence $\psi \in \Psi_3'[\Omega, q]$, and by using (3.1) and Theorem 1.8 , we have

$$q(z) \prec p(z) = I_p(n, \lambda)f(z).$$

Therefore, we completes the proof of Theorem 3.2.

If $\Omega \neq \mathbb{C}$ is a simply connected domain, and $\Omega = h(U)$ for some conformal mapping $h(z)$ of U onto Ω, in this case the class $\Phi_I'[h(U), q]$ is written as $\Phi_I'[h, q]$. The next Theorem is directly consequence of Theorem 3.2.

Theorem 3.3. Let $\phi \in \Phi_I'[h, q]$. Also, let the function $h(z)$ be analytic in U. If the function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ satisfies the condition (3.1),

$$\{\phi(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z): z \in U\}$$

is univalent in U, and

$$h(z) \prec \phi(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z), \tag{3.3}$$

then

$$q(z) \prec I_p(n,\lambda)f(z).$$

Theorem 3.4. Let the function h be analytic in U, and let $\phi : \mathbb{C}^5 \times \overline{U} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and ψ be given by (2.9). Suppose that

the differential equation

$$\psi(q(z), zq'(z), z^2q''(z), z^3q'''(z), z^4q''''(z); z) = h(z), \tag{3.4}$$

has a solution $q(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$. If the functions $f \in \Sigma_p$, $I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ and $q \in \mathcal{H}_1$ with $q'(z) \neq 0$ satisfy the condition (2.1) and satisfies the condition (3.1),

$$\{\phi(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z): \ z \in U\}$$

is univalent in U, and

$$h(z) \prec \phi(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z)$$

then

$$q(z) \prec I_p(n,\lambda)f(z),$$

and $q(z)$ is the best subordinant of (3.3).

Proof. The proof is similar to that of Theorem 2.6 and it is being omitted here. By Combining Theorem 2.4 and Theorem 3.3, we obtain the following sandwich type result.

Corollary 3.5. Let the functions $h_1(z)$, $q_1(z)$ be analytic in U and let the function $h_2(z)$ be univalent in U, $q_2(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1$ with $q_1(0) = q_2(0) = 1$ and $\phi \in \Phi_I[h_2, q_2] \cap \Phi_I'[h_1, q_1]$. If the function $f(z) \in \Sigma_p$, $I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \in \mathcal{Q}_1 \cap \mathcal{H}$, $\{\phi(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z): z \in U\}$

is univalent in U, and the conditions (2.1) and (3.1) are satisfied,

$$h_1(z) \prec \phi(I_p(n,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+1,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+2,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+3,\lambda)f(z), I_p(n+4,\lambda)f(z); z) \prec h_2(z),$$

then

$$q_1(z) \prec I_p(n,\lambda)f(z) \prec q_2(z).$$

References

[1] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Subordination and superordination of the Liu-Srivastava linear operator on meromorphic functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. ,31 (2008), 193-207.

[2] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, Differential subordination and superordination of analytic functions defined by the multiplier transformation, Math. Inequal. Appl. ,12 (2009), 123-139.

[3] R. M. Ali, V. Ravichandran and N. Seenivasagan, On subordination and superordination of the multiplier transformation for meromorphic functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc. ,33 (2010), 311-324.

[4] J. A. Antonino and S. S. Miller, Third-order differential inequalities and subordinations in the complex plane, Complex Var. Elliptic Equ. ,56 (2011), 439-454.

[5] J. S. Abdul Rahman, A. H. S. Mushtaq and Al. H. F. Mohammed, Third-order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically univalent functions involving linear operator, European Jorunal of Scientific Research, (EJSR) , 132(1)(2015) ,57-65.

[6] M. K. Aouf and T. M. Seoudy , Subordination and superordination of a certain integral operator on merormorphic functions, Comput. Math. Appl, 59 (2010), 3669-3678.

[7] W. G. Atshan and I. A. Abbas, A study of Differential Subordination and Superordination Results in Geometric Function Theory, M.Sc. Thesis, University of Al-Qadisiyah, Diwaniyah ,(2017).

[8] W. G. Atshan and E. I. Badawi, On sandwich theorems for certain univalent functions defined by a new operator, Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics, 11(2)(2019) ,72–80.

[9] W. G. Atshan and A. A. Husien, Some results of second order differential subordination for fractional integral of Dziok-Srivastava operator , Analele Universitatii Oradea Fasc. Matematica , Tom XXI(2014), Issue No. 1 ,145-152.

[10] W.G. Atshan and S. A. A. Jawad, On differential sandwich results for analytic functions, Journal of Al-Qadisiyah for Computer Science and Mathematics, 11(1)(2019) ,96–101.

[11] A. A. Attiya, O. S. Kwon, P. J. Hang and N. E. Cho, An Integro-differential operator for meromorphic functions associated with the Hurwitz - Lerch Zeta function ,Filomat, 30, 7 (2016), 2045-2057.

[12] R. W. Ibrahim, M. Z. Ahmad and H. F. Al-Janaby, Third-order differential subordination and superordination involving a fractional operator, Open Math., 2015, 13: 706-728.

[13] S. Kavitha, S. Sivasubramanian and R. Jayasankar, Differential subordination and superordination results for Cho-Kwon-Srivastava operator, Comput. Math. Appl., 64(2012),1789-1803.

[14] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Differential subordinations: Theory and Applications ,Series on Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics, No. 225, Marcel Dekker Incorporated, New York and Basel, (2000).

[15] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Subordinations of differential superordinations, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 48 (2003), 815-826.

[16] T. N. Shanmugam, S. Sivasubramanian and H. M. Srivastava, Differential sandwich theorems for certain subclasses of analytic functions involving multiplier transformations, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct. ,17 (2006), 889-899.

[17] H. Tang and E. Deniz, Third-order differential subordination results for analytic functions involving the generalized Bessel functions, Acta Math. Sci., (2014), 34B(6), 1707-1719.

[18] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, E. Deniz and S. Li, Third-order differential superordination involving the generalized Bessel functions, Bull. Malays. Math. Sci. Soc., (2014), 1-22.

[19] H. Tang, H. M. Srivastava, S. Li and L. Ma, Third-order differential subordination and superordination results for meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the Liu-Srivastava operator, Abstract and Applied Analysis, (2014), Article ID 792175, 1-11 .

View publication stats